## **Chapter 6: Supporting Information**

6

## 6.1 List of Tables

Table 2.1. Emergency Services Training received by household	. 16
Table 2.2. Disasters affecting homes in Latah County.	. 16
Table 2.3. Fuel Hazard Rating Worksheet	. 17
Table 2.4. Percent of respondents in each risk category as determined by the sur- respondents.	vey . 17
Table 2.5. Percent of respondents in each risk category as determined by the surrespondents	
Table 2.6. Percent of respondents in each risk category as determined by the surrespondents	
Table 2.7 Respondent's ranking of risk to Latah County.	. 18
Table 2.8. Public Opinion of Hazard Mitigation Funding Preferences.	. 19
Table 2.9. Public meeting slide show	.32
Table 3.1 Selected demographic statistics for Latah County, Idaho from the Census 2000	. 39
Table 3.2 Income in 1999.	.41
Table 3.3 Poverty Status in 1999 (below poverty level).	.41
Table 3.4 Occupation and Industry	.42
Table 3.5 Class of Worker	.43
Table 3.6. Levels of direct employment by industrial sector	.44
Table 3.7. National Register of Historic Places in Latah County, Idaho	.46
Table 3.8. Vegetative Cover Types in Latah County	.48
Table 3.9 Climate summaries for Potlatch, Idaho in Latah County	.50
Table 3.10 Climate summaries for Moscow, Idaho in Latah County	.50
Table 3.11 Climate summaries for Elk River, Idaho in Clearwater County	.51
Table 3.12. Idaho Water Resources database of municipal water supplies in Latah County	.54
Table 4.1. Wildfire Ignition Profile from Idaho Department of Lands data in Latah County	.66
Table 4.2. Summary of wildfire ignitions in Latah County from the Idaho Department of Lar database.	
Table 4.3. National Fire Season Summaries.	.91
Statistical Highlights	.91
Table 4.4. Total Fires and Acres 1960 - 2004 Nationally	. 92
Table 4.5. Suppression Costs for Federal Agencies Nationally	.92

Table 4.6. Wildfire Ignition and Extent Profile in Latah County from the Idaho Departure Lands database 1983-2002	
Table 4.7. Fire Prone Landscape rankings and associated acres in each category f	
Table 4.8. Natural Historic Fire Regimes in Latah County, Idaho	100
Table 4.9. Fire Regime Condition Class Definitions.	102
Table 4.10. FRCC by area in Latah County	102
Table 4.11. Predicted Fire Severity by area in Latah County	104
Table 4.12. Comparative Fire Intensities and Rates of Spread in Timber Fuel Models	108
Table 4.13. Comparative Fire Intensities and Rates of Spread in Slash Fuel Models	110
Table 4.14. Latah County Communities	113
Table 4.15 Bovill Fire Protection District	139
Table 4.16. Deary Rural Fire District	141
Table 4.17. Genesee City and Rural Fire Department	142
Table 4.18. Juliaetta Volunteer Fire Department	144
Table 4.19. Kendrick Volunteer Fire Department	146
Table 4.20. Moscow Volunteer Fire Department and Moscow Rural Fire District	148
Table 4.21 Troy Volunteer Fire Department	150
Table 4.22. Potlatch (Palouse Valley) Rural Fire District	152
Table 4.23. Palouse Ranger District - USDA Forest Service	153
Table 4.24. Idaho Department of Lands-Ponderosa Fire Protection District	154
Table 4.25. Clearwater-Potlatch Timber Protective Association-Elk River Area	155
Table 4.26. Bennett Lumber Fire Department	156
Table 4.27. North Latah Fire District-Farmington	158
Table 4.28. North Latah Fire District-Oakesdale	160
Table 4.29. Fuels mitigation projects proposed by the USDA Forest Service	164
Table 5.1. WUI Action Items in Safety and Policy	171
Table 5.2. WUI Action Items for People and Structures	174
Table 5.3. Infrastructure Enhancements	180
Table 5.4. WUI Action Items in Fire Fighting Resources and Capabilities	181
Table 6.1. List of Preparers	187

## 6.2 List of Figures

Figure 2.1. Public meeting announcement for January 2005 meetings	25
Figure 2.2. Public meeting announcement for February 2005 meetings	26
Figure 2.3. Public meeting slideshow overview.	32
Figure 3.1. Wildland-Urban Interface in Latah County	61
Figure 3.2. Topographic relief of Latah County, Idaho	62
Figure 3.3. Land Ownership in Latah County.	63
Figure 4.1. Latah County Wildfire Ignition Profile in 5-Year Periods from the Idaho De of Lands dataset	•
Figure 4.2. Past wildfire extent profile by 5-year period in Latah County	94
Figure 4.3. Fire Prone Landscapes in Latah County, Idaho	97
Figure 4.4. Distribution of Fire Prone Landscapes in Latah County by ranking scale	98
Figure 4.5. Natural Historic Fire Regimes in Latah County, Idaho	100
Figure 4.6. Existing and proposed city and rural fire protection districts in Latah County	162
Figure 4.7. Wildland fire protection in Latah County	163
Figure 4.8. Fuels treatment areas proposed by the USDA Forest Service, in Latah Cou	nty165

## 6.3 List of Preparers

The following personnel participated in the formulation, compilation, editing, and analysis of alternatives for this assessment.

Table 6.1. List of Preparers		
Name	Affiliation	Role
William E. Schlosser, Ph.D.	Northwest Management, Inc.	<b>Lead Author,</b> Project Co-Manager, GIS Analyst, Natural Resource Economist, Hazard Mitigation Specialist, Regional Planner
Tera Duman, B.S.	Northwest Management, Inc.	Natural Resource Manager, Fire Control Technician
Vincent P. Corrao, B.S.	Northwest Management, Inc.	Resource Management Specialist, Deputy Project Manager
Toby R. Brown, B.S.	Northwest Management, Inc.	Natural Resource Manager, Project Co-Manager, Hazard Mitigation Specialist
John A. Erixson, M.S.	Northwest Management, Inc.	Range Management, Fire Specialist
Dennis S. Thomas	Northwest Management, Inc.	Fire & Fuels Specialist, Prescribed Burning Manager
Ken Homik, M.S.	Northwest Management, Inc.	Fire Use & Air Quality Specialist
Vaiden E. Bloch, M.S.	Northwest Management, Inc.	GIS Analyst
Greg Bassler, M.S.	Northwest Management, Inc.	Roads Engineer, Timber Sale Layout & Harvest Manager
Chris Terwilliger, B.S.	Northwest Management, Inc.	Resource Manager
Sandy Rollins	Latah County Disaster Services	Coordinator, Project Leadership

## 6.4 Signature Pages

This **Latah County Wildfire Mitigation Plan** has been developed in cooperation and collaboration with the representatives of the following organizations, agencies, and individuals.

## 6.4.1 Representatives of Latah County Government

This Wildfire Mitigation Plan and all of its components identified herein were adopted formally through a resolution of the Board of County Commissioners as of 22 June 2005, **resolution number 2005-16**, recorded in the official record of the Latah County Commissioners.

John a Nelson	
By: John A. "Jack" Nelson, Chairperson Laten Board of County Commissioners	
Later Board of County Commissioners	Adopted by Resolution
Carlymin	on June 22, 2005
By: Paul . Kimmell	
Latah Board of County Commissioners	
and then the	
By: Tom S. Stroschein	
Latah Board of County Commissioners	
Sandy Rollins	June 28, 2005
By: Sandy Rollins	Date
Latah County Disaster Services	
Pate 1. Vanh	fune 28, 2005
By: Pat Vaughan	Date
Latah County Assessor	
Hayne Payal	06/28/2005 Date
By: Wayne Rausch	Date
Latah County Sheriff	
	1/2/1/2
S-CU	6/28/2003
By: Dan Carscallen	Date
North Latah County Highway District	
Robert a. Leonard	7/8/05
By: Bob Leonard	Date / /
South Latah County Highway District	

## 6.4.2 Representatives of City Government in Latah County

This All Hazards Mitigation Plan and all of its components identified herein were adopted formally through individual resolutions passed by each city government in Latah County. Individual resolutions of adoption have been included in the next sub-section of this report.

## 6.4.3 Representatives of City and Rural Fire Districts in Latah County

This Wildfire Mitigation Plan and all of its components identified herein were developed in close cooperation with the participating fire districts listed herein. Those fire districts which are a Latah County Entity or a City entity have shown their organization's adoption through the formal adoption of the County or the City. Fire protection districts which are independent of a city or the county have indicated their formal adoption of the All Hazards Mitigation Plan below:

Bob Shook	6-29-05
By: Bob Shook, Chief	Date
Bovill Rural Fire Protection District	
	. 1 1 -
Time of James	6/29/05
By: Tim Jones, Chief	Date
Deary Rural Fire District	
Land Kilgre	7/8/05
By: Darrell Kilgore, Chief	Date
Genesee Çity Fire Department	
MAL MIL	
Mike McLee	06-28-05
By: Mike McGee, Chief	Date
Juliaetta City/Fire Department /	
1/4/1/2	6/28/05
By: Val Norris, Chief	Date
Kendrick City Fire Department	
Non Strong	6-27-05
By: Don Strong, Chief	Date
Moscow City & Moscow Rural Fire Departments	
() and off	6/28/05
(coyald dearns	
By: Ron Stearns, Chief	Date
Troy Rural Fire District	
$\mathcal{G}$	1-20 1-
Lary lagle	6 30-03
By: Gary Nagle, Chief	Date
Potlatch Rural Fire District	

## 6.4.4 Representatives of Federal and State Agencies, and Companies

This Wildfire Mitigation Plan was developed in cooperation and collaboration with the additionally listed agencies and organizations. These entities listed below are not elligable to "formally adopt" this plan, but will strive to implement its recommendations.

Bit. B.	6-28-05
By: Brett Bennett Bennett Lumber Products	Date
Roger Kechter Idaho Department of Lands	6-23-05 Date
By: Larry Dawson Forest Supervisor Clearwater National Forest	7/5/0S Date
Sug M Junevill  By: Greg Yuncevich	6/20/05 Date
Buread of Land Management  By: Charles E. Doty, President  Cleanwater Recovers Consequation and Development Consequence (Consequence)	6-23-05 Date
Clearwater Resource Conservation and Development Council, Inc.  By: William E. Schlosser, Ph.D.	20 June 200
Project Manager–Latah County Hazard Mitigation Plan, Lead Author, Northwest Management, Inc.	

6.5	Resolutions of Adoption
The fol	lowing resolutions have been adopted by the listed municipalities in Latah County.

## 6.5.1 Resolution of the Commissioners of Latah County, Idaho

## Resolution of the Commissioners of Latah County, Idaho

A resolution of the Commissioners of Latah County declaring County support and adoption of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan, which includes the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan.

- Whereas, The Board of Latah County Commissioners supports the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and
- Whereas, The Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan will be utilized as a guide for planning as related to FEMA Pre-Disaster Mitigation, The National Fire Plan, The Healthy Forest Restoration Act, and other purposes as deemed appropriate by the Latah County Commissioners,
- Therefore be it resolved, that the Latah County Commissioners do hereby adopt, support, and will facilitate the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan's implementation.

By: John A. "Jack" Nelson, Chairperson
Latah Board of County Commissioners

By: Paul J. Kimmell
Latah Board of County Commissioners

By: Tom S. Stroschein

Latah Board of County Commissioners

Attested by:

Susan Peterson, Clerk / Auditor / Recorder

## 6.5.2 Resolution of the City Council of Bovill

## Resolution of the City Council of Bovill located in Latah County, Idaho # R - ೨೦೦೨ -

A resolution of the City Council of Bovill declaring City support and adoption of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan, which includes the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan.

- Whereas, The City Council of Bovill supports the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and
- Whereas, The City Council of Bovill has participated in the development of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and
- Whereas, The Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan will be utilized as a guide for planning as related to FEMA Pre-Disaster Mitigation, The National Fire Plan, The Healthy Forest Restoration Act, and other purposes as deemed appropriate by the City Council of Bovill,
- Therefore be it resolved, that the City Council of Bovill does hereby adopt, support, and will facilitate the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan's implementation.

City Council of Bovill located in Latah County, Idaho

Mayor, City of Bovill

TerruChristik, City Clerk

## 6.5.3 Resolution of the City Council of Deary

## Resolution of the City Council of Deary located in Latah County, Idaho

# 190

A resolution of the City Council of Deary declaring City support and adoption of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan, which includes the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan.

- Whereas, The City Council of Deary supports the Latah County All Hazards
  Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation
  Plan, and
- Whereas, The City Council of Deary has participated in the development of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and
- Whereas, The Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan will be utilized as a guide for planning as related to FEMA Pre-Disaster Mitigation, The National Fire Plan, The Healthy Forest Restoration Act, and other purposes as deemed appropriate by the City Council of Deary,
- Therefore be it resolved, that the City Council of Deary does hereby adopt, support, and will facilitate the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan's implementation.

Passed and approved this 20th Day of June 2005

City Council of Deary located in Latah County, Idaho

By: John Henderson Mayor, City of Deary

Attested by:

Judy Heath, City Clerk

## 6.5.4 Resolution of the City Council of Genesee

# Resolution of the City Council of Genesee located in Latah County, Idaho

# 2005-2

A resolution of the City Council of Genesee declaring City support and adoption of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan, which includes the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan.

Whereas, The City Council of Genesee supports the Latah County All Hazards
Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation
Plan, and

Whereas, The City Council of Genesee has participated in the development of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and

Whereas, The Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan will be utilized as a guide for planning as related to FEMA Pre-Disaster Mitigation, The National Fire Plan, The Healthy Forest Restoration Act, and other purposes as deemed appropriate by the City Council of Genesee,

Therefore be it resolved, that the City Council of Genesee does hereby adopt, support, and will facilitate the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan's implementation.

Passed and approved this 15th Day of June 2005

City Council of Genesee located in Latah County, Idaho

By: Tim Sperber

Mayor, City of Genesee

Attested by:

Mert Geltz, City Clerk

## 6.5.5 Resolution of the City Council of Juliaetta

## Resolution of the City Council of Juliaetta located in Latah County, idaho

# 2005-02

A resolution of the City Council of Juliaetta declaring City support and adoption of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan, which includes the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan.

- Whereas, The City Council of Juliaetta supports the Latah County Ali Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and
- Whereas, The City Council of Juliaetta has participated in the development of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and
- Whereas, The Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan will be utilized as a guide for planning as related to FEMA Pre-Disaster Mitigation, The National Fire Plan, The Healthy Forest Restoration Act, and other purposes as deemed appropriate by the City Council of Juliaetta.
- Therefore be it resolved, that the City Council of Juliaetta does hereby adopt, support, and will facilitate the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan's implementation.

Passed and approved this Ab Day of June 2005

City Council of Juliaetta located in Latah County, Idaho

Mayor, City of Juliaetta

## 6.5.6 Resolution of the City Council of Kendrick

# Resolution of the City Council of Kendrick located in Latah County, Idaho # 5-207

A resolution of the City Council of Kendrick declaring City support and adoption of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan, which includes the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan.

- Whereas, The City Council of Kendrick supports the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and
- Whereas, The City Council of Kendrick has participated in the development of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and
- Whereas, The Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan will be utilized as a guide for planning as related to FEMA Pre-Disaster Mitigation, The National Fire Plan, The Healthy Forest Restoration Act, and other purposes as deemed appropriate by the City Council of Kendrick,
- Therefore be it resolved, that the City Council of Kendrick does hereby adopt, support, and will facilitate the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan's implementation.

Passed and approved this 27 Day of June 2005

City Council of Kendrick located in Latah County, Idaho

By: Dana Magnuson

Mayor, City of Kendrick

Attested by:

Barbara Brady, City Clerk

## 6.5.7 Resolution of the City Council of Moscow

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 2005 - 07**

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF MOSCOW, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, DECLARING SUPPORT AND ADOPTION OF THE LATAH COUNTY ALL HAZARDS MITIGATION PLAN, WHICH INCLUDES THE WILDLAND-URBAN INTERFACE WILDFIRE MITIGATION PLAN; PROVIDING THIS RESOLUTION TO BE EFFECTIVE UPON ITS PASSAGE AND APPROVAL.

WHEREAS, The City Council of Moscow supports the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and

WHEREAS, The City Council of Moscow has participated in the development of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and

WHEREAS, The Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan will be utilized as a guide for planning as related to FEMA Pre-Disaster Mitigation, The National Fire Plan, The Healthy Forest Restoration Act, and other purposes as deemed appropriate by the City Council of Moscow,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and City Council of the City of Moscow, Idaho that the City Council of Moscow does hereby adopt, support, and will facilitate the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan's implementation.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Mayor of the City of Moscow, Idaho, this 27<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2005.

ATTEST:

stephanie Kalasz, City Clerk

## 6.5.8 Resolution of the City Council of Onaway

# Resolution of the City Council of Onaway located in Latah County, Idaho

A resolution of the City Council of Onaway declaring City support and adoption of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan, which includes the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan.

- Whereas, The City Council of Onaway supports the Latah County All Hazards
  Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation
  Plan, and
- Whereas, The City Council of Onaway has participated in the development of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and
- Whereas, The Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan will be utilized as a guide for planning as related to FEMA Pre-Disaster Mitigation, The National Fire Plan, The Healthy Forest Restoration Act, and other purposes as deemed appropriate by the City Council of Onaway,
- Therefore be it resolved, that the City Council of Onaway does hereby adopt, support, and will facilitate the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan's implementation.

Passed and approved this 24 Day of June 2005

City Council of Onaway located in Latah County, Idaho

By: Rex Benson

Mayor, City of Onaway

Attested by:

Diane Nagle, City Clerk

## 6.5.9 Resolution of the City Council of Potlatch

## Resolution of the City Council of Potlatch located in Latah County, Idaho

# 05-00

A resolution of the City Council of Potlatch declaring City support and adoption of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan, which includes the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan.

- Whereas, The City Council of Potlatch supports the Latah County All Hazards
  Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation
  Plan, and
- Whereas, The City Council of Potlatch has participated in the development of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and
- Whereas, The Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan will be utilized as a guide for planning as related to FEMA Pre-Disaster Mitigation, The National Fire Plan, The Healthy Forest Restoration Act, and other purposes as deemed appropriate by the City Council of Potlatch,
- Therefore be it resolved, that the City Council of Potlatch does hereby adopt, support, and will facilitate the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan's implementation.

Passed and approved this \_\_\_\_\_\_ Day of June 2005

City Council of Potlatch located in Latah County, Idaho

By: David Brown

Mayor, City of Potlatch

Attested by:

Debbie Rynearson, City Clerk

HURBARSIN

## 6.5.10 Resolution of the City Council of Troy

# Resolution of the City Council of Troy located in Latah County, Idaho

# 2005-01

A resolution of the City Council of Troy declaring City support and adoption of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan, which includes the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan.

- Whereas, The City Council of Troy supports the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and
- Whereas, The City Council of Troy has participated in the development of the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and
- Whereas, The Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan will be utilized as a guide for planning as related to FEMA Pre-Disaster Mitigation, The National Fire Plan, The Healthy Forest Restoration Act, and other purposes as deemed appropriate by the City Council of Troy,
- Therefore be it resolved, that the City Council of Troy does hereby adopt, support, and will facilitate the Latah County All Hazards Mitigation Plan and the Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan's implementation.

Passed and approved this 271 Day of June 2005

City Council of Troy located in Latah County, Idaho

By: Ken Whitney

Mayor, City of Troy

Attested by:

Gary LeFors, City Clerk

## 6.6 Glossary of Terms

**Anadromous -** Fish species that hatch in fresh water, migrate to the ocean, mature there, and return to fresh water to reproduce (Salmon & Steelhead).

**Appropriate Management Response -** Specific actions taken in response to a wildland fire to implement protection and fire use objectives.

**Biological Assessment -** Information document prepared by or under the direction of the Federal agency in compliance with U.S. Fish and Wildlife standards. The document analyzes potential effects of the proposed action on listed and proposed threatened and endangered species and proposed critical habitat that may be present in the action area.

**Backfiring -** When attack is indirect, intentionally setting fire to fuels inside the control line to contain a rapidly spreading fire. Backfiring provides a wide defense perimeter, and may be further employed to change the force of the convection column.

**Blackline -** Denotes a condition where the fireline has been established by removal of vegetation by burning.

**Burning Out -** When attack is direct, intentionally setting fire to fuels inside the control line to strengthen the line. Burning out is almost always done by the crew boss as a part of line construction; the control line is considered incomplete unless there is no fuel between the fire and the line.

**Canyon Grassland -** Ecological community in which the prevailing or characteristic plants are grasses and similar plants extending from the canyon rim to the river's edge.

**Confine** - Confinement is the strategy employed in appropriate management responses where a fire perimeter is managed by a combination of direct and indirect actions and use of natural topographic features, fuel, and weather factors.

**Contingency Plans:** Provides for the timely recognition of approaching critical fire situations and for timely decisions establishing priorities to resolve those situations.

**Control Line -** An inclusive term for all constructed or natural fire barriers and treated fire edge used to control a fire.

**Crew -** An organized group of fire fighters under the leadership of a crew boss or other designated official.

**Crown Fire -** A fire that advances from top to top of trees or shrubs more or less independently of the surface fire. Sometimes crown fires are classed as either running or dependent, to distinguish the degree of independence from the surface fire.

**Disturbance** - An event which affects the successional development of a plant community (examples: fire, insects, windthrow, timber harvest).

**Disturbed Grassland -** Grassland dominated by noxious weeds and other exotic species. Greater than 30% exotic cover.

**Diversity -** The relative distribution and abundance of different plant and animal communities and species within an area.

**Drainage Order -** Systematic ordering of the network of stream branches, (e.g., each non-branching channel segment is designated a first order stream, streams which only receive first order segments are termed second order streams).

**Duff -** The partially decomposed organic material of the forest floor beneath the litter of freshly fallen twigs, needles, and leaves.

**Ecosystem -** An interacting system of interdependent organisms and the physical set of conditions upon which they are dependent and by which they are influenced.

**Ecosystem Stability -** The ability of the ecosystem to maintain or return to its steady state after an external interference.

**Ecotone -** The area influenced by the transition between plant communities or between successional stages or vegetative conditions within a plant community.

**Energy Release Component -** The Energy Release Component is defined as the potential available energy per square foot of flaming fire at the head of the fire and is expressed in units of BTUs per square foot.

**Equivalent Clearcut Area (ECA) -** An indicator of watershed condition, which is calculated from the total amount of crown removal that has occurred from harvesting, road building, and other activities based on the current state of vegetative recovery.

**Exotic Plant Species -** Plant species that are introduced and not native to the area.

**Fire Adapted Ecosystem -** An arrangement of populations that have made long-term genetic changes in response to the presence of fire in the environment.

**Fire Behavior -** The manner in which a fire reacts to the influences of fuel, weather, and topography.

**Fire Behavior Forecast** - Fire behavior predictions prepared for each shift by a fire behavior analysis to meet planning needs of fire overhead organization. The forecast interprets fire calculations made, describes expected fire behavior by areas of the fire, with special emphasis on personnel safety, and identifies hazards due to fire for ground and aircraft activities.

**Fire Behavior Prediction Model -** A set of mathematical equations that can be used to predict certain aspects of fire behavior when provided with an assessment of fuel and environmental conditions.

**Fire Danger -** A general term used to express an assessment of fixed and variable factors such as fire risk, fuels, weather, and topography which influence whether fires will start, spread, and do damage; also the degree of control difficulty to be expected.

**Fire Ecology -** The scientific study of fire's effects on the environment, the interrelationships of plants, and the animals that live in such habitats.

**Fire Exclusion -** The disruption of a characteristic pattern of fire intensity and occurrence (primarily through fire suppression).

**Fire Intensity Level -** The rate of heat release (BTU/second) per unit of fire front. Four foot flame lengths or less are generally associated with low intensity burns and four to six foot flame lengths generally correspond to "moderate" intensity fire effects. High intensity flame lengths are usually greater than eight feet and pose multiple control problems.

**Fire Prone Landscapes –** The expression of an area's propensity to burn in a wildfire based on common denominators such as plant cover type, canopy closure, aspect, slope, road density, stream density, wind patterns, position on the hillside, and other factors.

**Fireline -** A loose term for any cleared strip used in control of a fire. That portion of a control line from which flammable materials have been removed by scraping or digging down to the mineral soil.

**Fire Management -** The integration of fire protection, prescribed fire and fire ecology into land use planning, administration, decision making, and other land management activities.

**Fire Management Plan (FMP)** - A strategic plan that defines a program to manage wildland and prescribed fires and documents the fire management program in the approved land use plan. This plan is supplemented by operational procedures such as preparedness, preplanned dispatch, burn plans, and prevention. The fire implementation schedule that documents the fire management program in the approved forest plan alternative.

**Fire Management Unit (FMU)** - Any land management area definable by objectives, topographic features, access, values-to-be-protected, political boundaries, fuel types, or major fire regimes, etc., that set it apart from management characteristics of an adjacent unit. FMU's are delineated in FMP's. These units may have dominant management objectives and preselected strategies assigned to accomplish these objectives.

**Fire Occurrence -** The number of wildland fires started in a given area over a given period of time. (Usually expressed as number per million acres.)

**Fire Prevention -** An active program in conjunction with other agencies to protect human life, prevent modification of the ecosystem by human-caused wildfires, and prevent damage to cultural resources or physical facilities. Activities directed at reducing fire occurrence, including public education, law enforcement, personal contact, and reduction of fire risks and hazards.

**Fire Regime -** The fire pattern across the landscape, characterized by occurrence interval and relative intensity. Fire regimes result from a unique combination of climate and vegetation. Fire regimes exist on a continuum from short-interval, low-intensity (stand maintenance) fires to long-interval, high-intensity (stand replacement) fires.

**Fire Retardant -** Any substance that by chemical or physical action reduces flareability of combustibles.

**Fire Return Interval -** The number of years between two successive fires documented in a designated area.

**Fire Risk -** The potential that a wildfire will start and spread rapidly as determined by the presence and activities of causative agents.

Fire Severity - The effects of fire on resources displayed in terms of benefit or loss.

**Foothills Grassland -** Grass and forb co-dominated dry meadows and ridges. Principle habitat type series: bluebunch wheatgrass and Idaho fescue.

**Fuel -** The materials which are burned in a fire: duff, litter, grass, dead branchwood, snags, logs, etc.

**Fuel Break -** A natural or manmade change in fuel characteristics which affects fire behavior so that fires burning into them can be more readily controlled.

**Fuel Loading -** Amount of dead fuel present on a particular site at a given time; the percentage of it available for combustion changes with the season.

**Fuel Model -** Characterization of the different types of wildland fuels (trees, brush, grass, etc.) and their arrangement, used to predict fire behavior.

**Fuel Type -** An identifiable association of fuel elements of distinctive species; form, size, arrangement, or other characteristics, that will cause a predictable rate of fire spread or difficulty of control, under specified weather conditions.

**Fuels Management -** Manipulation or reduction of fuels to meet protection and management objectives, while preserving and enhancing environmental quality.

**Gap Analysis Program (GAP) -** Regional assessments of the conservation status of native vertebrate species and natural land cover types and to facilitate the application of this information to land management activities. This is accomplished through the following five objectives:

- 1. Map the land cover of the United States.
- 2. Map predicted distributions of vertebrate species for the U.S.
- 3. Document the representation of vertebrate species and land cover types in areas managed for the long-term maintenance of biodiversity.
- 4. Provide this information to the public and those entities charged with land use research, policy, planning, and management.
- 5. Build institutional cooperation in the application of this information to state and regional management activities.

**Habitat** - A place that provides seasonal or year-round food, water, shelter, and other environmental conditions for an organism, community, or population of plants or animals.

**Heavy Fuels -** Fuels of a large diameter, such as snags, logs, and large limbwood, which ignite and are consumed more slowly than flash fuels.

**Hydrologic Unit Code -** A coding system developed by the U. S. Geological Service to identify geographic boundaries of watersheds of various sizes.

**Hydrophobic -** Resistance to wetting exhibited by some soils, also called water repellency. The phenomena may occur naturally or may be fire-induced. It may be determined by water drop penetration time, equilibrium liquid-contact angles, solid-air surface tension indices, or the characterization of dynamic wetting angles during infiltration.

**Human-Caused Fires -** Refers to fires ignited accidentally (from campfires or smoking) and by arsonists; does not include fires ignited intentionally by fire management personnel to fulfill approved, documented management objectives (prescribed fires).

**Intensity** - The rate of heat energy released during combustion per unit length of fire edge.

**Inversion -** Atmospheric condition in which temperature increases with altitude.

**Ladder Fuels -** Fuels which provide vertical continuity between strata, thereby allowing fire to carry from surface fuels into the crowns of trees or shrubs with relative ease. They help initiate and assure the continuation of crowning.

**Landsat Imagery -** Land remote sensing, the collection of data which can be processed into imagery of surface features of the Earth from an unclassified satellite or satellites.

**Landscape** - All the natural features such as grasslands, hills, forest, and water, which distinguish one part of the earth's surface from another part; usually that portion of land which the eye can comprehend in a single view, including all its natural characteristics.

**Lethal -** Relating to or causing death; extremely harmful.

**Lethal Fires -** A descriptor of fire response and effect in forested ecosystems of high-severity or severe fire that burns through the overstory and understory. These fires typically consume large woody surface fuels and may consume the entire duff layer, essentially destroying the stand.

**Litter -** The top layer of the forest floor composed of loose debris, including dead sticks, branches, twigs, and recently fallen leaves or needles, little altered in structure by decomposition.

**Maximum Manageable Area -** The boundary beyond which fire spread is completely unacceptable.

**Metavolcanic** - Volcanic rock that has undergone changes due to pressure and temperature.

**Minimum Impact Suppression Strategy (MIST)** - "Light on the Land." Use of minimum amount of forces necessary to effectively achieve the fire management protection objectives consistent with land and resource management objectives. It implies a greater sensitivity to the impacts of suppression tactics and their long-term effects when determining how to implement an appropriate suppression response.

**Mitigation -** Actions to avoid, minimize, reduce, eliminate, replace, or rectify the impact of a management practice.

**Monitoring Team -** Two or more individuals sent to a fire to observe, measure, and report its behavior, its effect on resources, and its adherence to or deviation from its prescription.

**National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) -** This act declared a national policy to encourage productive and enjoyable harmony between humans and their environment; to promote efforts which will prevent or eliminate damage to the environment and biosphere and will stimulate the health and welfare of humankind; to enrich the understanding of important ecological systems and natural resources; and to establish a Council on Environmental Quality.

National Fire Management Analysis System (NFMAS) - The fire management analysis process, which provides input to forest planning and forest and regional fire program development and budgeting.

**Native -** Indigenous; living naturally within a given area.

Natural Ignition - A wildland fire ignited by a natural event such as lightning or volcanoes.

**Noncommercial Thinning -** Thinning by fire or mechanical methods of precommercial or commercial size timber, without recovering value, to meet MFP standards relating to the protection/enhancement of adjacent forest or other resource values.

**Notice of Availability -** A notice of Availability published in the Federal Register stating that an EIS has been prepared and is available for review and comment (for draft) and identifying where copies are available.

**Notice of Intent -** A Notice of Intent published in the Federal Register stating that an EIS will be prepared and considered. This notice will describe the proposed action and possible alternatives, the proposed scoping process, and the name and address of whom to contact concerning questions about the proposed action and EIS.

**Noxious Weeds -** Rapidly spreading plants that have been designated "noxious" by law which can cause a variety of major ecological impacts to both agricultural and wildlands.

**Planned Ignition** - A wildland fire ignited by management actions to meet specific objectives.

**Prescribed Fire -** Any fire ignited by management actions to meet specific objectives. A written, approved prescribed fire plan must exist, and NEPA requirements must be met, prior to ignition.

**Prescription -** A set of measurable criteria that guides the selection of appropriate management strategies and actions. Prescription criteria may include safety, economic, public health, environmental, geographic, administrative, social, or legal considerations.

**Programmatic Biological Assessment -** Assesses the effects of the fire management programs on Federally listed species, not the individual projects that are implemented under these programs. A determination of effect on listed species is made for the programs, which is a valid assessment of the potential effects of the projects completed under these programs, if the projects are consistent with the design criteria and monitoring and reporting requirement contained in the project description and summaries.

**Reburn -** Subsequent burning of an area in which fire has previously burned but has left flareable light that ignites when burning conditions are more favorable.

**Riparian Habitat Conservation Areas (RHCA) -** Portions of watersheds where riparian-dependent resources receive primary emphasis, and management activities are subject to specific standards and guidelines. RHCAs include traditional riparian corridors, wetlands, intermittent headwater streams, and other areas where proper ecological functioning is crucial to maintenance of the stream's water, sediment, woody debris, and nutrient delivery systems.

**Riparian Management Objectives (RMO) -** Quantifiable measures of stream and streamside conditions that define good fish habitat and serve as indicators against which attainment or progress toward attainment of goals will be measured.

Road Density - The volume of roads in a given area (mile/square mile).

**Scoping -** Identifying at an early stage the significant environmental issues deserving of study and de-emphasizing insignificant issues, narrowing the scope of the environmental analysis accordingly.

**Seral** - Refers to the stages that plant communities go through during succession. Developmental stages have characteristic structure and plant species composition.

**Serotinous -** Storage of coniferous seeds in closed cones in the canopy of the tree. Serotinous cones of lodgepole pine do not open until subjected to temperatures of 113 to 122 degrees Fahrenheit causing the melting of the resin bond that seals the cone scales.

**Stand Replacing Fire -** A fire that kills most or all of a stand.

**Sub-basin** - A drainage area of approximately 800,000 to 1,000,000 acres, equivalent to a 4th - field Hydrologic Unit Code.

**Surface Fire -** Fire which moves through duff, litter, woody dead and down, and standing shrubs, as opposed to a crown fire.

**Watershed** - The region draining into a river, river system, or body of water.

**Wetline -** Denotes a condition where the fireline has been established by wetting down the vegetation.

Wildland Fire - Any nonstructure fire, other than prescribed fire, that occurs in the wildland.

**Wildland Fire Implementation Plan (WFIP)** - A progressively developed assessment and operational management plan that documents the analysis and selection of strategies and describes the appropriate management response for a wildland fire being managed for resource benefits. A full WFIP consists of three stages. Different levels of completion may occur for differing management strategies (i.e., fires managed for resource benefits will have two-three stages of the WFIP completed while some fires that receive a suppression response may only have a portion of Stage I completed).

**Wildland Fire Situation Analysis (WFSA)** - A decision making process that evaluates alternative management strategies against selected safety, environmental, social, economic, political, and resource management objectives.

**Wildland Fire Use** - The management of naturally ignited wildland fires to accomplish specific prestated resource management objectives in predefined geographic areas outlined in FMP's. Operational management is described in the WFIP. Wildland fire use is not to be confused with "fire use", which is a broader term encompassing more than just wildland fires.

**Wildland Fire Use for Resource Benefit (WFURB) -** A wildland fire ignited by a natural process (lightning), under specific conditions, relating to an acceptable range of fire behavior and managed to achieve specific resource objectives.

#### 6.7 Literature Cited

- Agee, J.K. 1993. Fire ecology of the Pacific Northwest forests. Washington: Island Press.
- Agee, J.K. 1998. The Landscape Ecology of Western Forest Fire Regimes. Northwest Science, Vol. 72, Special Issue 1998.
- Anderson, H. 1982. Aids to Determining Fuel Models for Estimating Fire Behavior. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. INT-GTR-122. 22 pp.
- Barrett, J.W. 1979. Silviculture of ponderosa pine in the Pacific Northwest: the state of our knowledge. USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report PNW-97. Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, OR. 106 p.
- Brown, J.K. 1995. Fire regimes and their relevance to ecosystem management. Pages 171-178 *In* Proceedings of Society of American Foresters National Convention, Sept. 18-22, 1994, Anchorage, AK. Society of American Foresters, Wash. DC.
- Beukema, S.J., D.C. Greenough, C.E. Robinson, W.A. Kurtz, E.D. Reinhardt, N.L. Crookston, J.K. Brown, C.C. Hardy, and A.R. Stage. 1997. An Introduction to the Fire and Fuels Extension to FVS. In: Teck, R., Moeur, and Adams. Proceedings of the Forest Vegetation Simulator Conference, 1997 February 3-7, Fort Collins, Co. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-373. Ogden UT:USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station.
- Dillman, D.A. 1978. Mail and Telephone Surveys: The Total Design Method. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated. 344 p.
- Fiedler, Carl E., Charles E. Keegan III, Chris W. Woodall, Todd A. Morgan, Steve H. Robertson, John T. Chmelik. 2001. A STRATEGIC ASSESSMENT OF FIRE HAZARD IN MONTANA. Report submitted to the Joint Fire Sciences Program, September 29, 2001. Pp. 39.
- Final Environmental Impact Statement North-Kennedy Cottonwood stewardship Project Emmett Ranger District, Boise National Forest March 2003.
- Graham, W.G. and L.J. Campbell. 1995. Groundwater Resources of Idaho. Idaho Department of Water Resources, Boise, ID. GIS Data.
- Hammond, C.; Hall, D.; Miller, S.; Swetik, P. 1992. Level 1 stability analysis (LISA) documentation for version 2.0 USDA, Forest Service. General Technical Report INT-285. Intermountain Research Station, Ogden, UT.
- Hann, W.J., Bunnell, D.L. 2001. Fire and land management planning and implementation across multiple scales. Int. J. Wildland Fire. 10:389-403.
- Hardy, C.C., Schmidt, K.M., Menakis, J.M., Samson, N.R. 2001. Spatial data for national fire planning and fuel management. International Journal of Wildland Fire 10:353-372.
- Harris, C., P.S. Cook, and J. O'Laughlin. 2003. Forest Resource-Based Economic Development in Idaho: Analysis of Concepts, Resource Management Policies, and Community Effects. Policy Analysis Group, University of Idaho, College of Natural Resources, Report № 22. Pp 82.
- Holsapple, L.J., Snell, K. 1996. Wildfire and prescribed fire scenarios in the Columbia River Basin: relationship to particulate matter and visibility. In: Keane, R.E., Jones, J.L., Riley, L.S., Hann, W.J., tech. eds. Compilation of administrative reports: multi-scale landscape dynamics in the Basin and portions of the Klammath and Great basins. On file with: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Department of Interior, Bureau of Land

- Management; Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project, 112 E. Poplar, Walla Walla, WA 99362.
- Homer, C.G. 1998. Idaho/western Wyoming landcover classification report and metadata. Department of Geography and Earth Resources. Utah State University. Logan, UT 84322-9635. chomer@gis.usu.edu
- Huff, M.H., Ottmar, R.D., Alvarado, E., et al. 1995. Historical and current forest landscapes in eastern Oregon and Washington. Part II: Linking vegetation characteristics to potential fire behavior and related smoke production. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-355. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 43p. (Everett, Richard L., team leader; Eastside forest health assessment; Hessburg, Paul F., science team leader and tech. ed., Volume III: assessment.).
- IDEQ (Idaho Department of Environmental Quality). 2003. Rules of the Department of Environmental Quality, IDAPA 58.01.02, "Water Quality Standards and Wastewater Treatment Requirements". Idaho Administrative Code (3-20-97), IDAPA 58.01.02, Boise, ID.
- Johnson, C.G.; Clausnitzer, R.R.; Mehringer, P.J.; Oliver, C.D. 1994. Biotic and Abiotic Processes of Eastside Ecosytems: the Effects of Management on Plant and Community Ecology, and on Stand and Landscape Vegetation Dynamics. Gen. Tech. Report PNW-GTR-322. USDA-Forest Service. PNW Research Station. Portland, Oregon. 722pp.
- Johnson, C.G. 1998. Vegetation Response after Wildfires in National Forests of Northeastern Oregon. 128 pp.
- Jones, J. 2003. Fire Risk Modeling GIS data for Idaho. USDA Forest Service, Flathead National Forest. Kalispell, Montana.
- Levinson, D.H. 2002. Montana/Idaho Airshed Group; Operating Guide. Montana / Idaho Airshed Group, Missoula, MT 59808
- Louks, B. 2001. Air Quality PM 10 Air Quality Monitoring Point Source Emissions; Point site locations of DEQ/EPA Air monitoring locations with Monitoring type and Pollutant. Idaho Department of Environmental Quality. Feb. 2001. As GIS Data set. Boise, Id.
- McCoy, L., K. Close, J. Dunchrack, S. Husari, and B. Jackson. 2001. May 6 –24, 2001. Cerro Grande Fire Behavior Narrative.
- MacDonald, L. H.; Smart, A.W.; and Wissmar, R.C. 1991. Monitoring guidelines to evaluate effects of forestry activities on streams in the Pacific Northwest and Alaska. USEPARegion 10 Report No. 910/9-91-001.
- Mill Creek Watershed Assessment Emmett Ranger Districts, Boise National Forest May 2003
- National Interagency Fire Center. 2003. Information posted on the Agency's Internet web site at <a href="http://www.nifc.gov/">http://www.nifc.gov/</a>
- National Register of Historic Places. 2003. Internet web site listings for Latah County, Idaho. On the Internet at <a href="https://www.nationalregisterofhistoricalplaces.com">www.nationalregisterofhistoricalplaces.com</a>
- Norton, P. 2002. Bear Valley National Wildlife Refuge Fire Hazard Reduction Project: Final Environmental Assessment, June 20, 2002. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bear Valley National Wildlife Refuge.
- Ottmar, Roger D.; Alvarado, E.; Hessburg, P.F.; [and others]. 1996. Historical and current forest and range landscapes in the interior Columbia River basin and portions of the Klammath and Great basins. Part III: Linking vegetation patterns to potential smoke production and

- fire behavior. Draft report. On file with: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management; Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management project, 112 E. Poplar, Walla Walla, WA.
- Quigley, T. and S. Arbelbide (Tech. Editors). 1997. An assessment of Ecosystem Components in the Interior Columbia Basin. Pacific Northwest Research Station, Walla Walla, WA. GTR-405. pp. 372, 460, 462, 480-486, 855-869.
- Quigley, T.M., R.A. Gravenmier, R.T. Graham, tech. eds. 2001. Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project: project data. Station Misc. Portland, OR. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station.
- Redmond, R.L. 1997. Mapping existing vegetation and land cover across western Montana and Northern Idaho. Wildlife Spatial Analysis Lab. Montana Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit. University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812.
- Schlosser, W.E., V.P. Corrao, D. Thomas. 2002. Shoshone County Wildland Urban Interface Fire Mitigation Plan, Final Report. Northwest Management, Inc., Moscow, ID.
- Schmidt, K.M., Menakis, J.P. Hardy, C.C., Hann, W.J., Bunnell, D.L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. General Technical Report, RMRS-GTR-87, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, CO.
- Scott, H.S. 1998. Fuel reduction in residential and scenic forests: a comparison of three treatments in western Montana ponderosa pine stand. Res. Pap. RMRS-RP-5. Ogden, UT. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 19 p.
- Steele, R.; Arno, S.F.; and Geier-Hayes, K. 1986. Wildfire patterns change in Central Idaho's ponderosa pine-Douglas-fir forest.
- Swanson, F.J. 1978. Fire and geomorphic processes; in Fire Regimes and Ecosystem Properties. USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep. WO. 26 pp.
- Thompson, R.A., P.H. Skabelund, N.C. Kulesza, E.N. Dean. 1973. Soil Hydrologic Reconnaissance. New Meadows Ranger District, Payette National Forest. 242 pp.
- USDA. 1999. Salmon River Canyon Project Draft Environmental Statement. USDA Forest Service. Nez Perce National Forest.
- USDA-Forest Service (United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service). 2000. Incorporating Air Quality Effects of Wildland Fire Management into Forest Plan Revisions A Desk Guide. April 2000. Draft
- USFS. 2001. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. Wildland Urban Interface. Web page. Date accessed: 25 September 2001. Accessed at: http://www.fs.fed.us/r3/sfe/fire/urbanint.html
- Vogl, R.J. 1979. Some basic principles of grassland fire management. Environmental Management 3(1):51-57, 1979.
- Wright, H.A. and A.W. Bailey. 1980. Fire ecology and prescribed burning in the Great Plains A research review. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest Range Experiment Station, Ogden, Utah. General Technical Report. INT-77.
- Wright, H. A. and Bailey, A.W. 1982. Fire ecology: United States and Southern Canada. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 501 pp.

This plan was developed by Northwest Management, Inc., under contract with the Latah County Commissioners and the Clearwater Resource Conservation and Development Council, Inc., with funding provided by the USDI Bureau of Land Management, the Idaho Bureau of Homeland Security, and Latah County.

#### Citation of this work:

- Schlosser, W.E., S. Rollins, and V.P. Corrao. *Lead Authors.* 2005. Latah County, Idaho, All Hazards Mitigation Plan; Volume I. Northwest Management, Inc., Moscow, Idaho. June 20, 2005. Pp. 174.
- Schlosser, W.E., S. Rollins, and V.P. Corrao. *Lead Authors*. 2005. Latah County, Idaho, Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan; Volume II. Northwest Management, Inc., Moscow, Idaho. June 20, 2005. Pp. 212
- Schlosser, W.E., S. Rollins, and V.P. Corrao. *Lead Authors*. 2004. Latah County, Idaho, Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan Appendices; Volume III. Northwest Management, Inc., Moscow, Idaho. June 20, 2005. Pp. 43.

#### Last Page of Document



Northwest Management, Inc. 233 East Palouse River Drive PO Box 9748 Moscow ID 83843 208-883-4488 Telephone 208-883-1098 Fax NWManage@consulting-foresters.com e-Mail http://www.Consulting-Foresters.com/ Internet

(Remainder Intentionally Blank)